HB 1156-FN – AS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE

6Mar2014... 0546h

2014 SESSION

 $14-2458 \\ 01/10$

| HOUSE BILL | 1156-FN |
|------------|--|
| AN ACT | making certain changes to the right-to-know law and establishing the right-to-know oversight commission. |
| SPONSORS: | Rep. Tasker, Rock 2; Rep. Sandblade, Hills 18 |
| COMMITTEE: | Judiciary |

AMENDED ANALYSIS

This bill clarifies the nonpublic session of the right-to-know law and adds 2 new matters which shall be considered in nonpublic session. This bill also establishes the right-to-know oversight commission to study and oversee the right-to-know law.

Explanation:Matter added to current law appears in **bold italics.**Matter removed from current law appears [in brackets and struckthrough.]Matter which is either (a) all new or (b) repealed and reenacted appears in regular type.

HB 1156-FN – AS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE

6Mar2014... 0546h

14-2458 01/10

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

In the Year of Our Lord Two Thousand Fourteen

AN ACT making certain changes to the right-to-know law and establishing the right-toknow oversight commission.

Be it Enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court convened:

1 Right-to-Know Law; Nonpublic Sessions. Amend RSA 91-A:3, II(e) to read as follows:

2 (e) Consideration or negotiation of pending claims or litigation which has been 3 threatened in writing or filed **by or** against the public body or any subdivision thereof, or against any 4 member thereof because of his or her membership in such public body, until the claim or litigation 5 has been fully adjudicated or otherwise settled. Any application filed for tax abatement, pursuant to 6 law, with any body or board shall not constitute a threatened or filed litigation against any public 7 body for the purposes of this subparagraph.

8 2 New Subparagraphs; Right-to-Know Law; Nonpublic Sessions. Amend RSA 91-A:3, II by 9 inserting after subparagraph (j) the following new subparagraphs:

10

(k) Consideration of written advice or information from the public body's legal counsel.

(l) Consideration of individual pupil matters, including but not limited to studentdiscipline, hearings, and other matters relative to a pupil's education.

13

3 Right-to-Know Law; Nonpublic Sessions. Amend RSA 91-A:3, III to read as follows:

III. Minutes of meetings in nonpublic session shall be kept as provided in RSA 91-A:2, II 1415and the record of all actions shall be promptly made available for public inspection, except as 16provided in this section. Minutes and decisions reached in nonpublic session shall be publicly 17disclosed within 72 hours of the meeting, unless, by recorded vote of 2/3 of the members present, 18taken in public session, it is determined that divulgence of the information likely would affect 19adversely the reputation of any person other than a member of the public body itself, or render the 20proposed action ineffective, or pertain to terrorism, more specifically, to matters relating to the 21preparation for and the carrying out of all emergency functions, developed by local or state safety 22officials that are directly intended to thwart a deliberate act that is intended to result in widespread 23or severe damage to property or widespread injury or loss of life. This shall include training to carry 24out such functions. In the event of such circumstances, information may be withheld until, in the 25opinion of a majority of members, the aforesaid circumstances no longer apply.

4 New Section; Right-to-Know Oversight Commission. Amend RSA 91-A by inserting after
section 9 the following new section:

91-A:9-a Right-to-Know Oversight Commission.

28

29

I.(a) There is established the right-to-know oversight commission to study and oversee the

HB 1156-FN – AS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE - Page 2 -

| 1 | right-to-know law. The members of the commission shall be as follows: | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | (1) Two members of the house of representatives, appointed by the speaker of the | |
| 3 | house of representatives. | |
| 4 | (2) One member of the senate, appointed by the president of the senate. | |
| 5 | (3) The attorney general, or designee. | |
| 6 | (4) A representative of the New Hampshire Municipal Association, appointed by the | |
| 7 | association. | |
| 8 | (5) A public information officer, appointed by the governor. | |
| 9 | (6) Five public members, one of whom shall be an attorney who has knowledge of | |
| 10 | and experience with the right-to-know law and one of whom shall be an information technology | |
| 11 | professional, all appointed by the governor. | |
| 12 | II. Members of the commission shall serve without compensation, except that legislative | |
| 13 | members of the commission shall receive mileage at the legislative rate when attending to the duties | |
| 14 | of the commission. | |
| 15 | III.(a) The commission shall study: | |
| 16 | (1) The development of standards and procedures to enable public entities to recover | |
| 17 | costs relative to complying with the right-to-know law. | |
| 18 | (2) The treatment under the right-to-know-law of private and quasi-public entities | |
| 19 | carrying out public functions. | |
| 20 | (3) The establishment of guidelines for members of public bodies to participate | |
| 21 | electronically. | |
| 22 | (4) The consideration of how best to handle grievances about application of right-to- | |
| 23 | know issues. | |
| 24 | (5) Any other matter deemed relevant by the commission. | |
| 25 | (b) The commission may solicit information from any person or entity the commission | |
| 26 | deems relevant to its study. | |
| 27 | IV. The members of the commission shall elect a chairperson from among the members. The | |
| 28 | first meeting of the commission shall be called by the first-named house member. The first meeting $% \left({{{\left[{{{\left[{{{c}} \right]}} \right]}_{i}}}_{i}}} \right)$ | |
| 29 | of the commission shall be held within 45 days of the effective date of this section. Six members of | |
| 30 | the commission shall constitute a quorum. | |
| 31 | V. The commission shall make an annual report beginning on November 1, 2014, together with | |
| 32 | its findings and any recommendations for proposed legislation to the speaker of the house of | |
| 33 | representatives, the president of the senate, the house clerk, the senate clerk, the governor, and the | |
| 34 | state library. | |
| 35 | 5 Repeal. RSA 91-A:9-a, relative to an oversight commission to study and oversee the right-to- | |
| 36 | know law, is repealed. | |

37 6 Effective Date.

HB 1156-FN – AS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE - Page 3 -

1 I. Section 1 of this act shall take effect January 1, 2015.

 $\mathbf{2}$

- II. Section 5 of this act shall take effect November 1, 2018.
- 3 III. The remainder of this act shall take effect upon its passage.

LBAO 14-2458 11/21/13

HB 1156-FN - FISCAL NOTE

AN ACT making certain changes to the right-to-know law.

FISCAL IMPACT:

The Judicial Branch and New Hampshire Association of Counties state this bill, <u>as</u> <u>introduced</u>, may increase state and county expenditures by an indeterminable amount in FY 2015 and each year thereafter. There is no impact on local expenditures, or state, county and local revenue.

METHODOLOGY:

The Judicial Branch states this bill makes changes to RSA 91-A, the right to know law. Specifically the amendments to make court action in RSA 91-A:8, III and IV mandatory rather than discretionary will have a fiscal impact on the Branch. The Branch has no information to estimate how many additional right to know cases may result from the proposed bill. The Branch does have information on the average cost of processing a right to know law case which is classified as a routine equity case. The cost for a routine equity case will be \$234.43 in FY 2015 and \$239.53 in FY 2016 and each year thereafter. The possibility for a case to be appealed increases the cost. All costs are estimated based on case weight information from the last needs assessment completed in 2005.

The New Hampshire Association of Counties states the change from discretionary to mandatory actions by the court in invalidating public actions may cause an increase in county expenditures. The Association has no way to determine the number of instances that would occur to determine the exact fiscal impact.

The Department of Justice states this bill will result in no additional costs to the Department.

The New Hampshire Municipal Association states this bill will have no direct fiscal impact on municipalities.