CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

Legislative Office Building, Room 201 Concord, NH Tuesday, June 25, 2019

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Rep. John Cloutier, Chair Rep. Michael Edgar Rep. Tom Buco Rep. John Graham Rep. Mary Beth Walz Sen. Lou D'Allesandro Sen. Regina Birdsell Sen. David Watters

(The meeting convened at 10:00 a.m.)

(1) Acceptance of Minutes of the April 22, 2019, and June 5, 2019 meetings

JOHN CLOUTIER, State Representative, Sullivan County, District #10 and Chair: I'm going to call this meeting of the Capital Budget Overview Committee to order, and I will start the first item on the agenda.

** JOHN GRAHAM, State Representative, Hillsborough County, District #07: I would move to accept the minutes of the April 22nd and June 5th, 2019, meetings.

<u>CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER</u>: Okay. So you're going to do a block motion. Any objection to do it in a block motion for both minutes? No objection. Do you second?

THOMAS BUCO, State Representative, Carroll County, District #02: I have to abstain. I was not here on the 5th.

CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER: You were not here at the meeting on the 5th. Okay. But otherwise you don't object?

REP. BUCO: No.

<u>CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER</u>: Do I have a second on Representative Graham's motion?

REGINA BIRDSELL, State Senator, Senate District #19: Second.

<u>CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER</u>: Second by Senator Birdsell. Okay. Has everybody had a chance to read over both sets of minutes? Okay, ready for the vote? All those in favor of accepting both -- excuse me, just bear with me -- the April 22nd and June 5th meeting minutes signify by saying aye? Okay. All those opposed say nay?

*** {MOTION ADOPTED}

CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER: And one abstention, right?

REP. BUCO: On the 5^{th} , yes.

<u>CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER</u>: Okay. Just note for the record that Representative Buco abstains because he was absent. Welcome, Senator Watters. We just got started. We just approved the minutes for both meetings. So we'll give you a minute.

DAVID WATTERS, State Senator, Senate District #04: I'm all set. I'm good to go.

CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER: Okay, you're good to go.

(2) Old Business:

(3) New Business:

CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER: All right. There being no other Old Business, I will start under New Business on under transfers, Item 19-014, under Department of Administrative Services, authorization to transfer a total of \$819,450 of appropriations from the completed State Library Parapet and Ceiling Repair Capital Project of the following three roofing projects: Safety

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

2

roof replacement - I'm not going to quote the RSA - Spaulding roof replacement, and Walker Building roof modifications subject to conditions as specified in the request dated June 13, 2019. So is anybody here from Department of Administrative Services to talk about this item and answer questions, please?

Welcome, by the way, and for the record could you please both identify yourselves.

KAREN RANTAMAKI, Administrator, Division of Plant and Property Management, Department of Administrative Services: Sure. I'm Karen Rantamaki. I'm the Administrator for the Division of Plant and Property Management.

THEODORE KUPPER, Administrator, Division of Public Works, Department of Administrative Services: And I'm Theodore Kupper, the Administrator for the Division of Public Works.

<u>CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER</u>: Again, thank you very much for coming and welcome. Okay. Do you want to make a brief statement about this request, please?

<u>MS. RANTAMAKI</u>: Sure. This is something that was discussed during the budget hearings that we had and we mentioned that Department of Administrative Services was having some challenges getting roofing bids to come in at the estimates that we were receiving. And so a lot of the projects had come in over the appropriation. And so we were asked to come back with a proposal to move around some money within the group of roofing projects that we had. And so this is -- this is what we have come up with.

The Library project is complete and there is excess funds in that appropriation, and we'd like to have permission to use them on the other three roofing projects.

CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER: Okay. All right. Thank you. Okay. Anything else you want to add? You're all set?

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

MR. KUPPER: No. The Library Roofing Project looks --

<u>CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER</u>: Representative Graham and followed by Representative Edgar.

REP. GRAHAM: I'll let Mike go, the same question probably.

CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER: Okay, Representative Edgar.

MICHAEL EDGAR, State Representative, Rockingham County, District #21: We both have to help us with it, please. Has to do with there was some wording in there that -- that maybe didn't complete the project but had problems with completing it so you're diverting the money.

MR. KUPPER: The Library?

REP. EDGAR: The Library, yeah. Did you actually complete
100%?

MR. KUPPER: It is completed 100%. There's no more leak in the roof and the interior work has been completed.

<u>REP. EDGAR</u>: Okay. I thought there was some -- some reference in some of the literature we had there had been a problem that you couldn't do some of the work; therefore, you were going to divert the money. Right there, right?

<u>REP. GRAHAM</u>: Just follow on. I'm going to read from what you submitted. Due to the inability to complete some of the scope of the project. Can you tell us what was not done so that you still have \$850,000 left?

MR. KUPPER: I don't know the answer.

MS. RANTAMAKI: I can talk to the Project Manager and get back to you on that. I believe --

REP. GRAHAM: Well, Mr. Chairman.

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER: Okay.

REP. GRAHAM: If we're going to vote on this today, I don't want information to come back to us.

<u>CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER</u>: Okay. All right. I think it's very important you try to answer our questions or otherwise we may have to table this item, you know.

MR. KUPPER: That's fine.

CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER: You'd like --

MR. KUPPER: I can reach out and try to find out right now.

<u>CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER</u>: Okay. How long do think that's going to take?

MR. KUPPER: Five minutes.

<u>CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER</u>: All right. What I will do then, if you don't mind then, 'cause I know we have another meeting at 10:30, recess this portion and bring up under the for harbor dredging. So if you could get your information and get back to us in about five minutes.

MR. KUPPER: Yes, thank you.

<u>CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER</u>: Thank you. So I'm going to -- I don't know the proper, recess this item. I will take it up later once we get some information.

Now I'm going to go to Harbor Dredging and Pier Maintenance Fund stuff and I'm going to welcome the Director of the Pease Development Authority, Division of Ports and Harbors, Mr. Geno Marconi, and he will talk to us about his items. Welcome. Thank you very much for your cooperation and switching.

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

GENO MARCONI, Director, Division of Ports and Harbors, Pease Development Authority: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Members of the Committee. The first item you have there, over the last few years for us to respond to the needs of the waterfront without continually coming to the Committee, we've had the Committee approve us to expend not more than \$50,000 and we report back to you what those expenditures are. And that's one of the items in your informational agenda item at the bottom of the page we report to you. Because of what we are experiencing down there, especially under the second item I'm going to talk about --

CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER: I'm sorry, I want to be clear. Which item is this? I know we have two items, is this 19 --

MR. MARCONI: 19-015, the \$50,000. What we're asking for at this point in time is to bring that balance back up to \$50,000 by authorizing an additional 18. Just we have -- so that we have it so we can respond to what's going on in the waterfront.

CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER: Okay, thank you. All right. Any questions of Mr. Marconi, please, from the Committee? Go ahead, Representative Buco.

REP. BUCO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. So, Commissioner, the money would be coming from dredging -- Dredging and Pier Maintenance Fund. How does that money get appropriated to that fund?

MR. MARCONI: There's no appropriations. There are a couple of -- there are a couple of revenue sources there, one of which is the berthing and pier use permits that we collect at the Commercial Fish Piers. There is a small amount of money that comes from Department of Safety for boat registrations. It's not really a lot of money that goes in there each year so we're careful how we spend it.

REP. BUCO: Thank you.

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

<u>MR. MARCONI</u>: There are no -- there are no appropriations of General Funds that go in there.

<u>CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER</u>: Okay. Any other further questions of Mr. Marconi from this Committee? Yes, go ahead, please, Representative Graham.

REP. GRAHAM: I was going to make a motion, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN COUTIER: Okay. Make a motion, go ahead.

****** REP. GRAHAM: I approve of CAP 19-015.

REP. BIRDSELL: Second.

<u>CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER</u>: Thank you. Second. Senator Birdsell second. Okay. Are we ready for the vote? Any other questions or comments on this item? Ready for the vote? All those in favor of Item 19-015 signify by saying aye? All those opposed say nay? The ayes have it. Motion is carried and Item 19-015 is adopted.

*** {MOTION ADOPTED}

CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER: Now we will go on to Item 19-016. Again, Mr. Marconi.

<u>MR. MARCONI</u>: Yes, Mr. Chairman. This item here is a request as a result of a situation that occurred back on May 20th. A little background on this. Every ten years we do a condition survey on all of our facilities and we received a report on the Portsmouth Commercial Fish Pier back in January of 2017 -- excuse me -- 2018. After going through the condition survey with our marine engineers, we then moved into identifying what some of the problems were, starting to work on a concept plan of what we were going to do down there long range from doing nothing, to doing a complete replacement, and a couple of different steps in-between, and our plan was to settle in on one of those plans.

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

We met with the fishermen and what their needs are and etcetera, et cetera, and what we were looking at was going to the next budget cycle, coming to the Legislature and requesting whatever that funding would be to do what plan was settled on for the facility.

Unfortunately, there was a situation that occurred down there where the fasteners of the steel bulkhead that were underground, ten feet underground corroded out and the sheet pile all started to separate from the anchor. This was -- when we looked at the -- at the As-Built plans from 1977, what we realized was the anchor system that's in the ground and the connecting rods that are two and an eighth inch steel rods that go out to an H-beam, to which the -- holds the wall in place, instead of putting the H-beam on the outside of the wall and sandwiching everything together, they put the H-beam on the inside of the wall and only connected each of the steel sheet piles to the H-beam with a one inch bolt with a backing plate about that big (Indicating).

When we did the condition survey, the engineers went down and for lack of a better term they x-rayed the steel sheet piles. The sheet piles came back still substantially with their thickness and their strength. The only way that we could inspect those mounting bolts was to bang on them with a hammer and see how much rust fell off of them and from the outside they looked good. And we got a surprise back on May 20th when they started popping off.

So where we are now is our first concern was public safety. We removed the floating docks. We had our environmental fuel system people come down, Lakes Region Environmental. They service our fuel system. We had them come on down, shut the fuel system down because the fuel pumps are right there. The underground fuel lines are right there. We didn't want to have an incident with that. We had the system purged. We had the fuel pumps removed, the whole thing. We put a sign up said "Danger. No Docking. No fuel. Stay away." We blocked it off.

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

What this request here is is we need to go and dig a test pit and look under the ground to see what the condition of the tiebacks and anchor system is. The reason we are looking at that is four years ago we did the similar thing in Hampton. We inspected the tiebacks and we found that the anchor system was in good shape and what we did to replace to fix the wall down there was we put a new sheet pile wall 24 inches outside the existing wall and went back to the existing tiebacks which is a considerable cost saving in the long run. So until we -- until we can do this and get an engineering report, then we can come up with a longer range plan. So, right now, there are a lot of unknowns.

CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER: Okay. Yes, Senator Watters, please.

SEN. WATTERS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you, Geno. You know, I've been keeping us in the loop about what's going on down there and, you know, clearly, this is a real emergency for the facility because we're losing the revenues and the fishermen can't use the pier, and then future projects certainly might be jeopardized. So I appreciate the emergency nature of the situation and would it be correct that -- I mean, I did hear, I think, the figure, you know, when you first thought maybe 1.2 million.

MR. MARCONI: If I may, Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER: You may.

MR. MARCONI: This is a guesstimate.

SEN. WATTERS: Yeah.

<u>MR. MARCONI</u>: It's based on our experience down in Hampton. It's a little bit shorter length of wall. But with the construction costs going up over the last four years, and with the cost of steel going up, we're looking at a million to a million three to replace this wall.

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

SEN. WATTERS: If I may follow-up?

CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER: Yes, continue, please.

<u>SEN. WATTERS</u>: And so, you know, let's say you get this. You get down there and do your test pit and take a look, what kind of time frame might it be to get this project going and done and, also, I mean, it's my understanding that one approach might be to go to the Governor of the Rainy Day Fund to request this, some source. We've got to get some money.

<u>MR. MARCONI</u>: I don't know what the source of the revenue -- of the money to fix this, Senator. I really don't. I'm -- I'm at a loss to that.

SEN. WATTERS: Yeah.

<u>MR. MARCONI</u>: As I said, our plan was to go through the normal budget cycle. Obviously, I can't do that.

SEN. WATTERS: Don't have the time.

<u>MR. MARCONI</u>: So I don't know what the source would be. I would say -- I would say if we went -- if we went to design next week, and we put bid documents together, by the time we went and got some environmental permits, et cetera, in an ideal world might be a year before I could start this.

SEN. WATTERS: Wow!

REP. WALZ: A year?

MR. MARCONI: A year.

SEN. WATTERS: Thank you.

<u>CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER</u>: Senator D'Allesandro, you have a question?

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

LOU D'ALLESANDRO, State Senator, Senate District #20: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I was over there Saturday and inspected the area, talked to a couple of fishermen friends of mine who happened -- just happened to be there. But what it appears, Geno, is that the wall's beginning to buckle this way; but behind it, all of the pavement is not only cracking and separating but it's beginning to drop down. The situation would be with the prices of work going up quite dramatically, I just got a call if we don't do a project on time it's going to cost us another 500,000, another project. So the immediacy of the situation was if you want to cost contain it you have to find the money to do it, and then do it ASAP, truly, and that deterioration will continue. And as the tide whacks it and so forth, it will be at a more rapid rate and that will drive -- that will drive the costs up. But I would suggest that people go and see it. These pictures are pretty good; but when you actually see it, you'll see it's dropping down and it's pushing -- pushing it all out.

In the old days we had an emergency fund; but those days are gone forever. So the question is where would you go for money within and you have to go within the Operating Budget to see if there was some movement there or an emergency appropriation for funds not otherwise appropriated to get this done. But I think this is an important enough situation so that it ought to be brought to the attention of the Administration and some movement on it. These guys need it. I mean, it's a tough business to begin with, and if you can't -- if you can't get your boat filled like you used to, you have to get it off the truck. You know, it's a bit -- it's a bit of a problem. But I -- I would suggest that if you have a chance go down and see it, look at it, and you'll understand the gravity of the situation. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER: Senator Birdsell.

<u>REP. BIRDSELL</u>: Thank you, and thanks for taking my question. Regardless of whether we need to try and get the funds

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

appropriated ASAP, you're still going to need this to do the study; correct?

MR. MARCONI: Yes. Yes, Senator.

****** SEN. BIRDSELL: I move. I move to appropriate.

<u>CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER</u>: Okay. Fine. Do I have a second on that motion?

REP. EDGAR: Second.

SEN. D'ALLESANDRO: Second.

<u>CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER</u>: Second by Representative Edgar. Okay. Representative Walz, did you have a question? I'm sorry, I didn't mean to --

REP. WALZ: No.

CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER: Okay, you're all set?

REP. WALZ: I am all set. Thank you.

<u>CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER</u>: So any other questions or comments on this motion?

REP. GRAHAM: Just one.

CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER: Go ahead, please, Representative Graham.

<u>REP. GRAHAM</u>: Mr. Marconi, looking at the one we just approved and approving this one, both of these chunks of money are coming out of the same \$152,000 that's currently on the books for the fund?

MR. MARCONI: Yes.

REP. GRAHAM: Okay. Thank you.

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER: Okay. All right. So are we ready for the vote? And -- all right. So ready for the vote. All those in favor of approving Item 19 -- I think it's -- okay -- 016 signify by saying aye? All those opposed say nay? The ayes have it? Motion carries and Item 19-016 is adopted. Thank you.

******* {MOTION ADOPTED}

MR. MARCONI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER: Yes, go ahead, please, Senator Watters.

<u>SEN. WATTERS</u>: If I may add? I believe that fairly soon there will be a group that may approach the Governor for emergency funding on this. He's aware of the situation.

CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER: Okay. The Governor is aware.

<u>SEN. WATTERS</u>: I will certainly keep all of you apprised as to what kind of plan might develop going forward because we've got to do this ASAP.

<u>CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER</u>: Okay. It sounds like it. Thank you very much, Geno. Hopefully, we will stay informed. Yes, Representative Walz.

<u>REP. WALZ</u>: I do have a quick question. About how many boats a day use this?

MR. MARCONI: Well, it's -- there's -- there's 30 boats that have permanent berths there.

REP. WALZ: Okay.

<u>MR. MARCONI</u>: But there are a lot of boats that are on moorings or the boats are kept at other facilities and they come in there to offload their catch. Especially during the summertime, there are a lot of commercial tuna fishermen.

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

REP. WALZ: Sure, sure.

<u>MR. MARCONI</u>: There's probably close to 80 boats that operate out of there on a regular basis.

REP. WALZ: Thank you.

<u>CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER</u>: Okay. Again, thank you, Representative Walz. Thank you, Mr. Marconi.

Okay. I don't know if people from Administrative Services, thank you for coming back. Were you able to give -- and hopefully you can give us some updated information. This is item, again, 19-014. We are returning to this item. So if you go ahead and give us an update. Hopefully, answer our questions.

<u>MR. KUPPER</u>: Thank you. So just a brief history on the project. There was a tower some years ago removed from the State Library. And since that time we've anecdotally heard that there'd been leaks in the building and they have increased in severity. We looked at the roof. The roof seemed okay. We suspected that it was the parapet and the stone work and that there were leaks occurring in there. We did some exploratory work to try to determine the extent of the repairs that might be needed, and we established a budget based on that exploratory work.

Turns out that the roof is intact. There's no problem with the roof and that the leaks were coming from the joints in the cap stone and the parapet on the face of the building.

So, as I mentioned, they were chasing leaks for years and at one time decades ago they used a sealant instead of a mortar, and that sealant prevented any subsequent mortar application from adhering to the stone. So we had to go in and do a deep grind between each stone, remove some of the cap stones and then reset all of that material and the leaks have stopped. There was a bit of money in the budget to do some interior repairs to

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

plaster work and woodwork where there was a leak and that's been accomplished.

So the answer to the question is that we anticipated the repairs would be more expensive than they were. And this money is money that was not used on the project, but the project is complete, the leaks are stopped, and the building has been repaired.

<u>CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER</u>: Thank you. Questions or does that answer -- okay, that answer your question, Representatives Graham and Edgar? Okay. Yes, Senator Birdsell.

** SEN. BIRDSELL: Motion to approve.

CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER: Motion to approve. Okay. Do I have a second on Senator Birdsell's motion?

SEN. WATTERS: Second.

<u>CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER</u>: Okay. Second by Senator Watters. Okay, are we ready for the vote? Any other questions or comments on this motion? All right.

REP. WALZ: Yes.

CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER: I'm sorry, yes, Representative Walz.

<u>REP. WALZ</u>: So I'm looking at the thing from the Administrative Services and I understand this; but I'm wondering if Administrative Services is mistaken. It says due to the inability to complete some of the scope of this project and that suggests --

MR. KUPPER: I understand that, Senator.

REP. WALZ: Is it just poor --

MR. KUPPER: Or Representative.

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

<u>REP. WALZ</u>: That's okay. Thank you for the promotion. Is it just poor writing by DAS that says it that way?

MR. KUPPER: Yes.

<u>REP. WALZ</u>: Okay. I just want to confirm that given what's before us. Okay, thank you.

<u>CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER</u>: Okay. So any other questions on this motion before we vote? I just want to be sure. Okay. All those in favor of approving Item 19-014 signify by saying aye? All those opposed say nay? The ayes have it. The motion carries. Item 19-014 is adopted.

*** {MOTION ADOPTED}

MR. KUPPER: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER: Thank you very much --

MR. KUPPER: Thank you for allowing us to follow-up.

<u>CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER</u>: -- getting that information to us. We really appreciate it. Makes our work easier.

(4) Miscellaneous:

(5) Informational:

<u>CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER</u>: Okay. Next Miscellaneous. There are no Miscellaneous items. Informational. We have Items 19-012 from the Department of Administrative Services again, and also Item 19-013, again from Administrative Services. And finally Item 19-017 from the Pease Development Authority, Division of Ports and Harbors. These are all reports. Any questions while, you know, we have both agencies here? Yes, Representative Buco, please.

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

<u>REP. BUCO</u>: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I do have a question on this month -- on this quarterly report.

<u>CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER</u>: Okay. Which item are we talking about, please, for everybody?

<u>REP. BUCO</u>: It says agency number 56, Office of the Commissioner, and it says it's a renovation of Career Technical CTE.

CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER: Okay. And do you know which one of the numbers? Like, is it 19 --

<u>REP. BUCO</u>: That is actually my question is what -- I see the explanation and two different categories, exactly the same word for word, but I don't know -- I don't know one is for Dover but the other one is not named.

<u>CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER</u>: Okay. Anyone from Administrative Services probably answer that question.

MR. KUPPER: I'll attempt to. If I could see the item in question?

CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER: Okay, just bear with us.

<u>REP. BUCO</u>: That -- see that right there. I don't know where that -- where that is; but on the following page there's exactly the same explanation and it's for Dover.

MR. KUPPER: Okay. CTE Center is -

(The court reporter interrupts.)

<u>CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER</u>: So the sake of everybody else, the person taking the minutes, this is Item 19-012, this packet?

REP. BUCO: Yes.

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

<u>CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER</u>: Okay. Just for everybody else that's here.

<u>REP. BUCO</u>: I'm sorry. I mention that it's a quarterly report.

<u>CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER</u>: Okay. And I understand. Okay. We got a lot and it's under the -- which Department, please?

REP. BUCO: It's under agency number 56.

CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER: Under 56, okay, agency. So flip to agency 56, please.

REP. BUCO: Also on the following page. The Accounting Unit is eight --

CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER: All right.

REP. BUCO: The Accounting Unit is 13-195, renovation of CTE.

<u>CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER</u>: Okay. That's, of course, under the Department of Education.

 $\underline{\text{REP. BUCO}}$: On the next page the Accounting Unit is 15-220, Dover CTE.

CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER: Okay.

<u>REP. BUCO</u>: They have the same explanation word for word, and I didn't know which -- what the other one was.

<u>CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER</u>: Okay. Did you get your question answered?

REP. BUCO: No.

CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER: Okay.

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

<u>REP. BUCO</u>: I just wanted to know if it was a cut and paste explanation or if it's actually -- I don't know -- I don't know what this one here. It doesn't have a name on it. Just says renovation.

<u>CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER</u>: Maybe, I don't know, we could work -- you know, maybe the LBA staff get some information. Would be under the Department of Education CTE item.

REP. BUCO: That would be fine.

<u>CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER</u>: Okay. Mr. Shea, you noting that? If you could -- I mean and work with Representative Buco.

CHRISTOPHER SHEA, Deputy Legislative Budget Assistant, Office of Legislative Budget Assistant: Yep.

<u>CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER</u>: If you want -- you need further clarification. He has some questions. Maybe some of the other members of the Committee have some questions. I want to be sure this is clarified and maybe you could send out something, an e-mail or we could talk about it. If necessary, I could call someone from the Education Department to brief us on it.

MR. SHEA: I can follow-up on it.

<u>CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER</u>: Okay. You understand what Representative Buco --

MR. SHEA: Yes, yes.

REP. BUCO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

<u>CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER</u>: -- is trying to ask? Okay. Thank you, Representative Buco. Thank you, Mr. Shea.

<u>REP. BUCO</u>: It's informational. I didn't want to hold up the Committee.

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

<u>CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER</u>: Okay. Thank you. The next date of our next meeting, my intention is unless there's an emergency to not meet at all during the summer as we usually do and not to meet again until after Labor Day but to set a tentative date. I mean, I was thinking of maybe meeting Wednesday, September 4th, which is the Wednesday after Labor Day. I don't have that. This would be tentative because I don't know what's going on the Operating Budget, et cetera. But is Wednesday, September 4th, let's say 10 a.m., is that okay with everybody tentatively?

SEN. BIRDSELL: I guess.

<u>CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER</u>: I mean, things may change. Maybe we'll have a session. So a lot more likely be Thursday. Then I will set a tentative date, unless any objections, for Wednesday, September 4th, at 10:00 a.m. I'll probably -- we're having Long Range in a few minutes. Hopefully, be the same day. I think it's easier. But I think it's also important for the State Agencies involved if they have something they need, you know, if it's something that could wait, at least they have a date what tentatively we are going to meet. And if I have to change the date, I will keep everybody informed. But I think probably I could do it Tuesday the 3rd, but that's right after Labor Day and people may just be coming back. So I would prefer Wednesday the 4th, unless I have any objection. Okay. All right. All right. So unless anybody has anything else for the meeting, I will entertain a motion to adjourn.

** <u>SEN. D'ALLESANDRO</u>: So move.

SEN. BIRDSELL: Second.

<u>CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER</u>: Motion by Senator D'Allesandro. Do I have a second?

REP. BIRDSELL: Second.

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

CHAIRMAN CLOUTIER: Second by Senator Birdsell. All those in favor of adjourning signify by saying aye? All those opposed say nay? Meeting is adjourned. Thank you very much.

(The meeting adjourned at 10:29 a.m.)

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

21

CERTIFICATION

1, Cecelia A. Trask, a Licensed Court Reporter-Shorthand, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate transcript from my shorthand notes taken on said date to the best of my ability, skill, knowledge and judgment.

Cecelia A. Trask, LSR, RMR, CRR State of New Hampshire License No. 47

